



Year: 2019

Effect of cocoa on the brain and gut in healthy subjects: a randomised controlled trial

Fox, Mark ; Meyer-Gerspach, Anne Christin ; Wendebourg, Maria Janina ; Gruber, Maja ; Heinrich, Henriette ; Sauter, Matthias ; Woelnerhanssen, Bettina ; Koeberle, Dieter ; Juengling, Freimut

Abstract: Dark chocolate is claimed to have effects on gastrointestinal function and to improve well-being. This randomised controlled study tested the hypothesis that cocoa slows gastric emptying and intestinal transit. Functional brain imaging identified central effects of cocoa on cortical activity. Healthy volunteers (HV) ingested 100 g dark (72 % cocoa) or white (0 % cocoa) chocolate for 5 d, in randomised order. Participants recorded abdominal symptoms and stool consistency by the Bristol Stool Score (BSS). Gastric emptying (GE) and intestinal and colonic transit time were assessed by scintigraphy and marker studies, respectively. Combined positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) imaging assessed regional brain activity. A total of sixteen HV (seven females and nine males) completed the studies (mean age 34 (21-58) years, BMI 22.8 (18.5-26.0) kg/m²). Dark chocolate had no effect on upper gastrointestinal function (GE half-time 82 (75-120) v. 83 (60-120) min; $P=0.937$); however, stool consistency was increased (BSS 3 (3-5) v. 4 (4-6); $P=0.011$) and there was a trend to slower colonic transit (17 (13-26) v. 21 (15-47) h; $P=0.075$). PET-CT imaging showed increased [¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) in the visual cortex, with increased FDG uptake also in somatosensory, motor and pre-frontal cortices ($P<0.001$). In conclusion, dark chocolate with a high cocoa content has effects on colonic and cerebral function in HV. Future research will assess its effects in patients with functional gastrointestinal diseases with disturbed bowel function and psychological complaints.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114518003689>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-192832>

Journal Article

Accepted Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Fox, Mark; Meyer-Gerspach, Anne Christin; Wendebourg, Maria Janina; Gruber, Maja; Heinrich, Henriette; Sauter, Matthias; Woelnerhanssen, Bettina; Koeberle, Dieter; Juengling, Freimut (2019). Effect of cocoa on the brain and gut in healthy subjects: a randomised controlled trial. *The British Journal of Nutrition*, 121(6):654-661.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114518003689>

The effect of cocoa on the brain and the gut in healthy subjects: a randomized controlled trial

Journal:	<i>British Journal of Nutrition</i>
Manuscript ID	BJN-RA-18-1104.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Fox, Mark; St. Claraspital, Abdominal Center: Gastroenterology; University of Zürich, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Meyer-Gerspach, Anne Christin; St. Claraspital, St. Clara Research Ltd Wendebourg, Maria; St. Claraspital, Department of Internal Medicine and Oncology Gruber, Maja; St. Claraspital, Abdominal Center: Gastroenterology Heinrich, Henriette; St. Claraspital, Abdominal Center: Gastroenterology; University of Zürich, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Sauter, Matthias; St. Claraspital, Abdominal Center: Gastroenterology Wölnerhanssen, Bettina; St. Claraspital Basel, St. Clara Research Ltd Köberle, Dieter; St. Claraspital, Department of Internal Medicine and Oncology Juengling, Freimut; St. Claraspital, 5. Department of Nuclear Medicine and PET/CT-Center North-West Switzerland</p>
Keywords:	chocolate, cocoa, gastric emptying, colon transit time, FDG-positron emission tomography
Subject Category:	Human and Clinical Nutrition

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Title:

The effect of cocoa on the brain and the gut in healthy subjects: a randomized controlled trial

Authors' names:

Mark Fox^{1,2*}, Anne Christin Meyer-Gerspach³, Maria Janina Wendebourg^{1,4}, Maja Gruber¹,
Henriette Heinrich^{1,2}, Matthias Sauter^{1,2}, Bettina Woelnerhanssen³, Dieter Koeberle⁴, Freimut
Jüngling⁵.

Authors' affiliations:

- ^{1.} Abdominal Center: Gastroenterology, St. Claraspital, CH-4058 Basel, Switzerland
- ^{2.} Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Hospital Zürich, CH-8091 Zürich, Switzerland
- ^{3.} St. Clara Research Ltd, St. Claraspital, CH-4058 Basel, Switzerland
- ^{4.} Department of Internal Medicine and Oncology, Tumour Center, St. Claraspital, CH-4058 Basel, Switzerland
- ^{5.} Department of Nuclear Medicine and PET/CT-Center North-West Switzerland, St. Claraspital, CH-4058 Basel, Switzerland

*** Corresponding author:**

Mark Fox, Abdominal Center: Gastroenterology, St. Claraspital, Basel, Switzerland

Email dr.mark.fox@gmail.com; Tel: +41791934795; Fax: +41616858458

Running title:

Effects of chocolate on the brain and the gut

Keywords:

Chocolate, Cocoa, Gastric emptying, colon transit time, FDG-positron emission tomography

Abstract

Dark chocolate is claimed to have effects on gastrointestinal function and to improve well-being. This randomized controlled study tested the hypothesis that cocoa slows gastric emptying and intestinal transit. Functional brain imaging identified central effects of cocoa on brain activity. Healthy volunteers (HVs) ingested 100g dark (72% cocoa) or white (0% cocoa) chocolate for five days, in randomized order. Participants recorded abdominal symptoms and stool consistency by the Bristol stool score (BSS). Gastric emptying, intestinal and colonic transit time were assessed by scintigraphy and marker studies, respectively. Combined CT-PET imaging assessed regional brain activity. Sixteen HVs (7 females, 9 males) completed the studies (mean age 34 (21-58) years, BMI 22.8 (18.5-26.0) kg/m²). Dark chocolate had no effect on upper gastrointestinal function (GE half-time 82 (75-120) vs. 83 (60-120) minutes; $p=0.937$); however, stool consistency was increased (BSS 3 (3-5) vs. 4 (4-6); $p=0.011$) and there was a trend to slower colonic transit (17 (13-26) vs. 21 (15-47) hours; $p=0.075$). CT-PET imaging showed increased FDG uptake in the visual cortex, with increased activity also in somatosensory, motor and pre-frontal cortices ($p<0.001$). In conclusion, dark chocolate with a high cocoa content has effects on colonic and cerebral function in healthy volunteers. Future research will assess its effects in patients with functional gastrointestinal diseases with disturbed bowel function and psychological complaints. Clinical Trials Registration Number: NCT03022955

Introduction

Already in the time of the Mayas and Aztecs the fruit of the cocoa tree (*Theobroma cocoa*) was used as medicine and, still today, popular claims confer on this “food of the gods” properties of being a stimulant, relaxant, aphrodisiac, and antidepressant. Cocoa and chocolate differ in that, while cocoa is the nonfat component of cocoa liquor (finely ground cocoa beans), chocolate contains a combination of ingredients that include cocoa, cocoa butter, sugar, and other constituents formed into a solid food. Dark chocolate with a high cocoa content contains flavonoids (e.g. epicatechin), methylxanthines (e.g. caffeine, theobromine) and other compounds with antioxidant and other, potentially health-promoting properties.^{1, 2} In contrast, white chocolate contains no cocoa and very few biologically active substances.^{3, 4} Recent studies suggest that, despite its high fat and calorie content, regular intake of dark chocolate has beneficial effects on various markers of metabolic health and is associated with reduction in the risk of heart attack, stroke and dementia.⁵⁻⁹

Dark chocolate is also thought to have effects on gastrointestinal function and is traditionally used as a home remedy for diarrhea;¹⁰ however, objective evidence for the impact of cocoa on the gastrointestinal time or symptoms is lacking. Similarly, although chocolate has hedonic appeal and a positive influence on mood,^{11, 12} the central mechanisms behind these effects remain uncertain.

There is increasing interest in the effects of diet on the brain and the gut in health and disease, in particular functional gastrointestinal diseases such as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Randomized controlled trials indicate that IBS patients benefit from medical treatment targeting digestive function (e.g. regulation of stool habit) and neuropsychological state (e.g. effects of antidepressants on visceral sensitivity and mood).¹³⁻¹⁵ However, many IBS patients prefer dietary and other “natural remedies” to the regular intake of pharmaceuticals¹⁶. The evidence referred to above suggests that dark chocolate may have “nutraceutical” effects on the brain and the gut that could benefit individuals with disorders of gastrointestinal motor and sensory function.

Ahead of clinical trials in patients, this research tested the hypothesis that dark chocolate with 72% cocoa content would slow gastrointestinal transit (primary outcome) and have specific effects on regional brain activity in healthy volunteers. The study was performed using dark chocolate and not cocoa powder or biologically active components extracted from cocoa because it is not known which, if any, components of this product have the desired effects on

the brain and the gut. A prospective, counterbalanced, cross-over study in healthy volunteers was performed. Subjects ingested either 100g of dark or white chocolate (control with 0% cocoa content) for five consecutive days in randomized order with a minimum 2-week wash out period between interventions. Validated methods were applied to assess effects of the test substances on abdominal symptoms, gastric emptying, oro-caecal and colonic transit times. Additionally, we looked for effects on regional brain activity using combined CT-PET imaging. The results provide novel evidence concerning the effects of chocolate on the gut and the brain.

Experimental methods

Participants

Adult healthy volunteers (HVs) aged 18 to 65 were recruited by advertisement. Participants had no indication of medical or psychological disease, no history of abdominal surgery (other than appendicitis or hysterectomy) and no pathology on physical exam. Subjects were excluded if they had a body mass index (BMI) of less than 18 or over 30kg/m², took medication which may affect gastric motility had an eating disorder, vegan diet or allergy to milk protein or cocoa.

All participants reported eating chocolate regularly. According to industry statistics (<https://www.chocosuisse.ch/en/services-4/facts-figures-4-2/>), the average chocolate consumption in Switzerland is approximately 10kg / year (equating to 25g / day); however, this includes white, milk and dark chocolate. This indicates that the dose provided in the dark chocolate dietary intervention is at least 4 times the normal daily cocoa intake in this population.

The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki as reflected in a priori approval by the Ethics Committee of North-West Switzerland (Reference: 2016-01841 (21.12.2016)). Approval was also granted by the local radiological protection board. The study was registered (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT03022955, <https://register.clinicaltrials.gov/>, (10.1.2017)). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Protocol

Subjects were assigned to receive either dark (Chocolat Frey Noir Spezial (72% cocoa solids)) or white (Chocolat Frey Blanca (0% cocoa solids)) chocolate on five consecutive days in randomized order. According to information on file, the dark chocolate used in this study contains 43mg caffeine and 250mg flavanols (measured by high performance liquid

chromatography). White chocolate contains no caffeine and no flavanols. Alkali extraction was not used for these products (process reduces flavanol concentration).

Block randomization in groups of 4 was performed to ensure all participants tested on any one day received the same meal with the order of conditions counterbalanced, so that 50% started with dark chocolate. The two study periods were separated by a minimum 14-day wash-out. Subjects were instructed to continue with their normal diet and lifestyle throughout (a food diary was not required). Intake of additional chocolate during the dietary intervention was not allowed. Failure to take the dark or white chocolate was also an exclusion criterion. All physiological investigations were completed in St. Clara hospital between 3rd February and 20th May 2017. Participants were not blinded to the dietary intervention (white or dark chocolate); however, those taking part were not aware of the study hypothesis or that white chocolate was the control condition. Further, investigators analyzing the data were blinded to the test substance and meal. Each study period lasted 5 days. On days #1 to #3 subjects ingested a chocolate bar (both 100g, 500kcal, 50% fat) with a capsule containing 10 radio-opaque markers for assessment of colonic / whole gut transit time. On day #4 and #5 the same amount of cocoa was ingested as a mousse (total 150g, 500kcal (**Supplemental Table 1**)). On day #4 ingestion of the chocolate was followed by a combined PET/CT brain scan (see below) and a low-intensity CT of the abdomen to assess colonic / whole gut transit time. On day #5, a non-absorbable Technecium-99m-DTPA marker was added to the mousse for scintigraphic imaging of the test meal (estimated 19mSv exposure for complete study).

Gastrointestinal scintigraphy, well-being and postprandial sensation

Anterior and posterior planar images were acquired before and every 15 minutes for 120 minutes after ingestion of labeled chocolate mousse by a Gamma Camera (Nucline X-Ring-R, Mediso, Budapest, Hungary). After each scan the subjects were asked to score satiety, fullness, bloating, heartburn, nausea and epigastric pain using a validated 100mm visual analogue scale.¹⁷ Sensations were rated: mild <30, mild to moderate 30-60, moderate to severe 60-90, severe >90 mm. Less than severe fullness and satiety are considered normal postprandial sensations. More than mild bloating, nausea, abdominal pain and heartburn are pathological “dyspeptic” sensations.¹⁷

Gastric emptying half-time, gastric retention at 120 minutes and oro-caecal transit time were assessed using validated protocols.¹⁸ Measurements were performed without knowledge of

patient allocation by three independent researchers (HH, MS, MF) and the mean value calculated.

Bristol stool score and colonic / whole gut transit time

The subjects recorded stool consistency using the validated Bristol stool score on a scale of 1 (very hard) to 7 (liquid) as illustrated by **Figure 4**.¹⁹ Objective measurements were determined by counting the number of radio-opaque markers retained in the colon. A simple conversion factor was applied to calculate the colonic transit time.¹⁸

Functional Brain Imaging

The right-handed healthy volunteers were assigned to brain imaging studies using a cross-over design for the digestion of either cocoa-rich dark or cocoa free white chocolate mousse. Methods were identical for both study arms. PET/CT was preferred to functional MRI because the relatively long acquisition time increases test sensitivity and is less subject to variation in the concentration of biologically active substances in cocoa in the blood stream over time (the optimal time for acquisition of brain imaging after ingestion of cocoa flavanols is uncertain).¹² Subjects were seated in a relaxing chair and an i.v. line was placed. Five minutes later chocolate mousse was ingested from a bowl using a standard spoon within 10 minutes. After meal commencement (two spoons), 100 MBq 18-FDG was injected while the subjects continued ingesting the mousse to completion. Directly after completing the meal (i.e. imaging did not begin during meal ingestion), subjects were transferred to a Siemens Biograph 40 HIREZ TRUE-D whole-body PET/CT (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany). Brain scans were acquired in a brightly lit facility. All patients were awake during measurements; however, alertness was not monitored. After low-dose CT for attenuation correction and anatomical correlation, a pseudodynamic PET acquisition (5 time-frames à 4 minutes each) was started and continued for maximum 2-hours. Images were reconstructed using a point spread function recovering iterative reconstruction (HD-PET (tm) Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) resulting in reconstructed images of 2mm isotropic resolution.

Emission data were reconstructed to generate an image of relative glucose metabolism, as described previously.²⁰ Briefly, attenuation corrected images were analysed using the generalised linear model (GLM) as implemented in SPM12 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, UCL, London, United Kingdom) and a voxelwise analysis of covariance between the two study conditions was performed with correction for potential confounding

covariates (e.g. age, sex) for each subject and session ($p < 0.001$ corrected for multiple comparisons using the Field Wise Error correction (FWE) were regarded as significant). For the purpose of visualisation, activated voxel clusters were projected on a 3-D-imaging atlas brain as provided by SPM12, and their center of activation reported as coordinates within the stereotactic standard space of the Montreal Neurologic Institute (MNI) brain atlas.

Statistical analysis

Previous studies have demonstrated mean gastric emptying half-time (T50) of 49 minutes with test-retest variability ± 8 minutes for scintigraphic measurements of a 400ml, 300kcal nutrient liquid meal.²¹ Power calculations show 12 paired comparisons are needed to detect a statistically significant difference in T50 (primary outcome) exceeding the daily variability (beta 90%, alpha of 0.05). We included 16 subjects in the study to facilitate assessment also of secondary and exploratory outcome parameters (e.g. colonic transit, brain imaging data). The cross-over study design was appropriate to compensate for wide inter-individual variation in digestive and cerebral function. In this early phase study, a correction for multiple comparisons was not applied. The study was not powered to identify interactions between the brain and the gut. Demographic and physiological results showed non-parametric distribution and are reported as median with either range or interquartile range as appropriate. Within-subject difference of parameters between the two test substances was investigated with the Wilcoxon paired tests.

Results

Participants

After initial telephone screening, 19 subjects were interviewed and consented. Three withdrew prior to test procedures being performed. 16 HVs (7 females, 9 males) with mean age 34 (21-58) years and mean BMI 22.8 (18.5-26.0) kg/m² completed studies. Three female participants were taking an oral contraceptive and one had a coil in situ. One male patient was taking an oral anticoagulant (Xarelto) due to an uncomplicated lower limb venous thrombosis. At screening no subject had a psychological disorder (HADS <11) and all reported excellent good-gastrointestinal well-being (>75/100 VAS). All subjects tolerated the complete study protocol with no side effects.

At screening, 10 participants reported a preference for dark chocolate with 4 preferring white chocolate and 2 stating no preference. After completion of each study arm palatability of the dark and white chocolate was assessed and was similar (median VAS 62 vs. 69/100; $p=0.859$).

Well-being and postprandial sensation

Participants reported no change in well-being after ingestion of dark and white chocolate (73 (63-100) vs. 73 (66-93) mm VAS; $p=0.951$). After completing the 500kcal chocolate mousse meals subjects reported an increase in fullness and satiety (both $p<0.001$) which was similar for dark and white chocolate (postprandial fullness: 58 (40-95) vs. 60 (48-95) mm VAS; $p=0.323$). Subsequently, as emptying progressed, there was a decline in both sensations (**Figure 1**). Mild to moderate bloating (>30 mm but <60 mm VAS) was reported by one (6%) subject. No other symptoms were reported.

Gastric emptying and oro-caecal transit time

Gastric emptying of the semi-solid, nutrient meal was approximately linear. Emptying half time was not different after ingestion of 500kcal dark or white chocolate mousse (82 (75-120) vs. 83 (60-120) minutes; $p=0.937$) with a similar finding for gastric retention at 120minutes (32% (27-63) vs. 33% (23-57); $p=0.585$).

Oro-caecal transit time was also near identical for both test meals (59 (45-98) vs. 59 (53-83) minutes; $p=0.907$). Note that gastric emptying was rapid in the early and then slowed in the late postprandial phase such that contrast is visible in the caecum well before the stomach has half emptied (**Figure 1**).

Bristol stool scale and colonic / whole gut transit time

Participants reported higher stool consistency after 3-days ingestion of dark compared to white chocolate (BSS 3 (3-5) vs. 4 (4-6); $p=0.011$). Consistent with this finding, objective measurement of colonic / whole gut transit time showed a trend to slower transit after ingestion of dark chocolate (17 (13-26) vs. 21 (15-47) hours; $p=0.075$) and there was a significant negative correlation (**Figure 2**) between the stool score and transit time (Pearson correlation coefficient $r^2=-0.77$; $p<0.002$).

Functional brain imaging

Comparing spontaneous steady state cerebral glucose metabolism of dark chocolate intake vs. white chocolate, PET/CT imaging showed a highly significant increase in regional glucose metabolism in a large cluster of voxels in the occipital and visual cortex, as well as in more circumscribed clusters located in the somatosensory cortex, motor and pre-frontal cortices (**Figure 3**). All voxel clusters showed highly significant effects both on the level of cluster and peak intensity analysis (both $p<0.001$, corrected for multiple comparisons).

Discussion

The results of this randomized controlled study confirm that dark chocolate with 72% cocoa content has distinct effects on the brain and the gut compared to the white chocolate control. An effect on psychological well-being was not observed; however, this is not surprising as the healthy volunteers studied had no evidence of anxiety or depression. Nevertheless, since hedonic factors may affect cerebral and gastrointestinal function, it is important to note that participants rated both test substances as similarly pleasurable to eat.

Gastrointestinal function

A series of measurements, illustrated by **Figures 1 and 2**, documented gastrointestinal transit from ingestion to evacuation. Gastric emptying (primary outcome measure) and oro-caecal transit time were almost identical after ingestion of dark or white chocolate mousse. These results add to the evidence that the key determinants of gastric function are the volume and calorie content of meals.²² The delivery of carbohydrates, protein and fat into the small bowel triggers the release of peptide hormones that regulate the delivery of food into the small intestine. However, with the exception of alcohol,²³ there is little evidence that specific foods have an important impact on gastric emptying in controlled studies.²⁴

Participants also reported similar levels of fullness and satiety after the two meals. These sensations increased after ingestion of the chocolate mousse and then returned towards normal levels as gastric emptying proceeded (**Figure 1**). The healthy volunteers rarely reported dyspeptic symptoms after completing the high fat (50%), high calorie (500kcal) meal. This could be different in participants with functional gastrointestinal symptoms. More than other nutrients, fat increases the sensation of fullness, nausea and other symptoms in laboratory and clinical studies.²⁵⁻²⁸ For example, the results of ambulatory pH-studies in patients referred for investigation of suspected gastro-oesophageal reflux disease show that, independent of the number of reflux events, there was a 40% reduction in reflux symptoms reported after low-fat, high calorie compared to high-fat, high calorie meals.²⁹

In contrast, significant effects of dark chocolate with 72% cocoa content were observed on the lower gastrointestinal tract. Participants reported a significant increase in stool consistency as illustrated by the Bristol stool score (**Figure 4**). At the same time there was a clear trend to slower colonic transit documented by radio-opaque markers swallowed every day with the dark chocolate bars compared to the control. These findings are consistent with the widely held view that dark chocolate can be constipating.¹⁰

The presence of naturally occurring methylxanthines in dark chocolate did not counteract this effect. High levels of caffeine such as found in a cup of strong brewed coffee (typically 80-150mg in a regular serving (8oz≈235ml)) can trigger the gastro-colonic reflex and accelerate colonic transit;³⁰ however, lower levels found in dark chocolate may not trigger this response.³¹

One potential mechanism for the inhibitory effect of cocoa on colonic function is the inhibition of CFTR chloride channels by cocoa flavonoids leading to reduced water transport across the colonic epithelium.³² Another possibility is that bioactive substances found in cocoa may antagonize digestive enzymes or exert prebiotic effects on the gut microbiota.³³ There is a growing literature that describes striking bidirectional associations between diet, intrinsic gut microbes and the brain, including a role in regulating response to stress and psychological state.³⁴ If proven, it may turn out that dark chocolate not only feeds us, but also the billions of microorganisms living in our bowels... and that this arrangement is good for both parties!

Functional brain imaging

Compared to ingestion of white chocolate, ingestion of dark chocolate with a high cocoa content had no effect on the activity of brain regions responsible for controlling emotions or signaling reward such as the limbic cortex or amygdala. This is consistent with the prevailing view that the mood-enhancing effects of chocolate are not caused by naturally occurring psychoactive substances (e.g. anandamide, an agonist of endogenous cannabinoid receptors), but are more likely explained by properties shared by both dark and white chocolate such as satisfaction of hunger and pleasurable oro-sensory qualities including high palatability, sweetness, and optimal mouthfeel.¹²

Instead, PET-CT imaging revealed specific effects of dark chocolate on regional glucose metabolism within the occipital and visual cortex, with smaller areas of increased brain activity also in areas of the somatosensory cortex (possibly involved in gustation), motor and pre-frontal cortices (**Figure 3**). This result is unlikely to be due to “visual exposure” to the different test meals. Although the radioactive marker (18-FDG) was injected during ingestion of the white and dark chocolate mousse, measurements commenced only after completion of the meal and were obtained in a closed scanner with little visual stimulation. It is more likely that biologically active substances present in cocoa had effects on regional brain activity. Flavanols have effects on vascular endothelium that increase peripheral, cardiac and cerebral blood flow via dose-dependent increases in flow-mediated vasodilation.^{35, 36} Controlled studies performed by experimental psychologists indicate consumption of high-dose cocoa flavanols (250-1000mg) improves a variety of cognitive tasks, including visual contrast sensitivity, time to

detect motion direction and spatial working memory performance.³⁷⁻³⁹ Since increased delivery of substrates improves performance of mentally effortful tasks, the findings of the PET-CT study may well indicate that improved performance of visual information processing is related to effects of cocoa flavanols on the perfusion and regional metabolism of the visual cortex and other higher centers. It is less likely that the results are mediated by methylxanthines in dark chocolate. Caffeine and to a lesser extent theobromine increase alertness, especially in subjects with fatigue, and this is associated with activity in regions involved in the control of vigilance, anxiety, and cardiovascular regulation;^{12, 31} however, these substances do not have effects on the areas identified in this study.

To our knowledge, this PET-CT study is the first to assess baseline brain glucose metabolism at a steady state after a meal of flavanol-rich dark vs flavanol-absent white chocolate mousse preceded by five days intake of the respective “chocolate bars”. An effect of flavanol-rich cocoa on cerebral blood flow has also been reported by functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) studies;⁴⁰ however, these experiments measured brain activation during cognitive tasks within the scanner and, not surprisingly, different regions of the brain were activated. Further studies are required to establish whether improvements in visual and cognitive performance are directly linked to the effects on brain activity induced by cocoa documented by CT-PET measurements.

Limitations

This study in 16 healthy volunteers has a robust randomized, controlled study design; however, it was not double-blinded, since the control treatment for dark chocolate was white chocolate and no attempt was made to mask the visual or gustatory differences between the products. Lack of blinding could introduce bias; however, subjects were not aware of the study hypothesis or that white chocolate was the control condition. Further, investigators analyzing the data were blinded to the test substance and meal.

Additionally, it is not possible to determine which biologically active component of cocoa had effects on the digestive and neurological systems. For example, the two treatments differed not only in flavanol but also in caffeine content. As discussed above, although caffeine alone cannot explain the observations, the possibility that caffeine, theobromine, or other components of cocoa (such as magnesium) interact differentially with flavanols to produce the biological effects of chocolate cannot be ruled out.

Conclusion and potential application in clinical practice

This dietary intervention study in healthy volunteers identified specific effects of dark chocolate on digestive and cerebral function. These findings add to the body of literature indicating that naturally occurring substances in chocolate, in particular cocoa flavanols, in isolation and in combination, have measurable biological effects. Future research will establish if these are clinically relevant. Acute and chronic disturbances in bowel function are common in the community and can be a major burden.⁴¹ Drugs used in the therapy of diarrhea (e.g., loperamide) are not always effective and may cause side effects, leading to decreased acceptance by participants.⁴² Moreover, many doctors prefer to avoid the use of opioid-based medicines for acute infectious diarrhea or for the long-term control of chronic diarrhea. This position is shared by many patients with functional gastrointestinal diseases that often report side-effects with medications and prefer “natural” remedies.¹⁶ If the “nutraceutical” effects of dark chocolate or cocoa-based products are confirmed, then this could be a safe and popular alternative to pharmacological treatment. If, as expected, there are also positive effects on well-being, then the combination of peripheral and central effects could be a much needed, novel approach for the treatment of participants with conditions such as irritable bowel syndrome that are affected by both disorders of digestive function and mood.

347 Acknowledgment

348 The authors would like to thank Bastian Trimpin for performance of the nuclear medical
349 investigations, Jennifer Wagner for expert nutritional advice and Hermann Fritz for preparation
350 of the excellent chocolate mousse!

351 Financial Support

352 This study was supported by research awards from Chocosuisse, the association of Swiss
353 chocolate manufacturers, and Laboratoire Viollier, Allschwil, Switzerland. Chocolate bars
354 were provided free of charge by Chocolat Frey, Buchs, Switzerland. Chocosuisse, Laboratoire
355 Viollier as well as Chocolat Frey had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this article.

356 Conflict of Interest

357 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare, except their love of chocolate.

358 Authorship

359 MF, DK and FJ developed the study concept and protocol. MF, ACMG, MJW and BW were
360 involved in planning and performance of study with data collection. MG, HH and MS were
361 involved in data collection and analysis; MF directed data analysis and interpretation and wrote
362 the manuscript. MF has primary responsibility for the final content. All authors approved the
363 final version of the manuscript.

365 References

- 366 1. Visioli F, Bernaert H, Corti R, et al. Chocolate, lifestyle, and health. *Crit Rev*
367 *Food Sci Nutr* 2009;49:299-312.
- 368 2. Katz DL, Doughty K, Ali A. Cocoa and chocolate in human health and
369 disease. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 2011;15:2779-811.
- 370 3. Lippi G, Franchini M, Montagnana M, et al. Dark chocolate: consumption for
371 pleasure or therapy? *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2009;28:482-8.
- 372 4. Corti R, Perdrix J, Flammer AJ, et al. [Dark or white chocolate? Cocoa and
373 cardiovascular health]. *Rev Med Suisse* 2010;6:499-500, 502-4.
- 374 5. Larsson SC, Virtamo J, Wolk A. Chocolate consumption and risk of stroke: a
375 prospective cohort of men and meta-analysis. *Neurology* 2012;79:1223-9.
- 376 6. Grassi D, Desideri G, Ferri C. Protective effects of dark chocolate on
377 endothelial function and diabetes. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*
378 2013;16:662-8.
- 379 7. Grassi D, Socci V, Tempesta D, et al. Flavanol-rich chocolate acutely
380 improves arterial function and working memory performance counteracting the
381 effects of sleep deprivation in healthy individuals. *J Hypertens* 2016;34:1298-
382 308.
- 383 8. Alkerwi A, Sauvageot N, Crichton GE, et al. Daily chocolate consumption is
384 inversely associated with insulin resistance and liver enzymes in the
385 Observation of Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Luxembourg study. *Br J Nutr*
386 2016;115:1661-8.
- 387 9. Larsson SC, Akesson A, Gigante B, et al. Chocolate consumption and risk of
388 myocardial infarction: a prospective study and meta-analysis. *Heart*
389 2016;102:1017-22.
- 390 10. Muller-Lissner SA, Kaatz V, Brandt W, et al. The perceived effect of various
391 foods and beverages on stool consistency. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*
392 2005;17:109-12.
- 393 11. Bruinsma K, Taren DL. Chocolate: food or drug? *J Am Diet Assoc*
394 1999;99:1249-56.
- 395 12. Scholey A, Owen L. Effects of chocolate on cognitive function and mood: a
396 systematic review. *Nutr Rev* 2013;71:665-81.
- 397 13. Fox MR, Kahrilas PJ, Roman S, et al. Clinical measurement of gastrointestinal
398 motility and function: who, when and which test? *Nat Rev Gastroenterol*
399 *Hepatol* 2018.
- 400 14. Ford AC, Talley NJ, Spiegel BM, et al. Effect of fibre, antispasmodics, and
401 peppermint oil in the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: systematic review
402 and meta-analysis. *Bmj* 2008;337:a2313.
- 403 15. Ford AC, Talley NJ, Schoenfeld PS, et al. Efficacy of antidepressants and
404 psychological therapies in irritable bowel syndrome: systematic review and
405 meta-analysis. *Gut* 2009;58:367-78.
- 406 16. Bohn L, Storsrud S, Tornblom H, et al. Self-reported food-related
407 gastrointestinal symptoms in IBS are common and associated with more
408 severe symptoms and reduced quality of life. *Am J Gastroenterol*
409 2013;108:634-41.
- 410 17. Parker HL, Tucker E, Hoad CL, et al. Development and validation of a large,
411 modular test meal with liquid and solid components for assessment of gastric
412 motor and sensory function by non-invasive imaging. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*
413 2016;28:554-68.

18. Rao SS, Camilleri M, Hasler WL, et al. Evaluation of gastrointestinal transit in clinical practice: position paper of the American and European Neurogastroenterology and Motility Societies. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2011;23:8-23.
19. Lewis SJ, Heaton KW. Stool form scale as a useful guide to intestinal transit time. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1997;32:920-4.
20. Aziz Q, Andersson JL, Valind S, et al. Identification of human brain loci processing esophageal sensation using positron emission tomography. *Gastroenterology* 1997;113:50-9.
21. Parker HL, Tucker E, Blackshaw E, et al. Clinical assessment of gastric emptying and sensory function utilizing gamma scintigraphy: Establishment of reference intervals for the liquid and solid components of the Nottingham test meal in healthy subjects. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2017;29.
22. Kwiatek MA, Menne D, Steingoetter A, et al. Effect of meal volume and calorie load on postprandial gastric function and emptying: studies under physiological conditions by combined fiber-optic pressure measurement and MRI. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2009;297:G894-901.
23. Heinrich H, Goetze O, Menne D, et al. Effect on gastric function and symptoms of drinking wine, black tea, or schnapps with a Swiss cheese fondue: randomised controlled crossover trial. *BMJ* 2010;341:c6731.
24. Goetze O, Steingoetter A, Menne D, et al. The effect of macronutrients on gastric volume responses and gastric emptying in humans: A magnetic resonance imaging study. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2007;292:G11-7.
25. Feinle C, Meier O, Otto B, et al. Role of duodenal lipid and cholecystokinin A receptors in the pathophysiology of functional dyspepsia. *Gut* 2001;48:347-55.
26. Simren M, Abrahamsson H, Bjornsson ES. Lipid-induced colonic hypersensitivity in the irritable bowel syndrome: the role of bowel habit, sex, and psychologic factors. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:201-8.
27. Marciani L, Cox EF, Pritchard SE, et al. Additive effects of gastric volumes and macronutrient composition on the sensation of postprandial fullness in humans. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2015;69:380-4.
28. Parker HL, Curcic J, Heinrich H, et al. What to eat and drink in the festive season: a pan-European, observational, cross-sectional study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;29:608-614.
29. Fox M, Barr C, Nolan S, et al. The effects of dietary fat and calorie density on esophageal acid exposure and reflux symptoms. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:439-444.
30. Rao SS, Welcher K, Zimmerman B, et al. Is coffee a colonic stimulant? *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1998;10:113-8.
31. Boekema PJ, Samsom M, van Berge Henegouwen GP, et al. Coffee and gastrointestinal function: facts and fiction. A review. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl* 1999;230:35-9.
32. Schuier M, Sies H, Illek B, et al. Cocoa-related flavonoids inhibit CFTR-mediated chloride transport across T84 human colon epithelia. *J Nutr* 2005;135:2320-5.
33. Strat KM, Rowley TJ, Smithson AT, et al. Mechanisms by which cocoa flavanols improve metabolic syndrome and related disorders. *J Nutr Biochem* 2016;35:1-21.

- 463 34. Sarkar A, Harty S, Lehto SM, et al. The Microbiome in Psychology and
464 Cognitive Neuroscience. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2018;22:611-636.
- 465 35. Schroeter H, Heiss C, Balzer J, et al. (-)-Epicatechin mediates beneficial
466 effects of flavanol-rich cocoa on vascular function in humans. *Proc Natl Acad*
467 *Sci U S A* 2006;103:1024-9.
- 468 36. Sorond FA, Lipsitz LA, Hollenberg NK, et al. Cerebral blood flow response to
469 flavanol-rich cocoa in healthy elderly humans. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*
470 2008;4:433-40.
- 471 37. Scholey AB, French SJ, Morris PJ, et al. Consumption of cocoa flavanols
472 results in acute improvements in mood and cognitive performance during
473 sustained mental effort. *J Psychopharmacol* 2010;24:1505-14.
- 474 38. Field DT, Williams CM, Butler LT. Consumption of cocoa flavanols results in
475 an acute improvement in visual and cognitive functions. *Physiol Behav*
476 2011;103:255-60.
- 477 39. Karabay A, Saija JD, Field DT, et al. The acute effects of cocoa flavanols on
478 temporal and spatial attention. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2018;235:1497-
479 1511.
- 480 40. Francis ST, Head K, Morris PG, et al. The effect of flavanol-rich cocoa on the
481 fMRI response to a cognitive task in healthy young people. *J Cardiovasc*
482 *Pharmacol* 2006;47 Suppl 2:S215-20.
- 483 41. Canavan C, West J, Card T. The epidemiology of irritable bowel syndrome.
484 *Clin Epidemiol* 2014;6:71-80.
- 485 42. Kaplan MA, Prior MJ, Ash RR, et al. Loperamide-simethicone vs loperamide
486 alone, simethicone alone, and placebo in the treatment of acute diarrhea with
487 gas-related abdominal discomfort. A randomized controlled trial. *Arch Fam*
488 *Med* 1999;8:243-8.

489

Figure legends**Figure 1**

3D reconstruction of low-intensity computed tomography imaging illustrating assessment of colonic / whole gut transport by radio-opaque markers (left panel). A number of markers are visible within the ascending and transverse colon. There is a relationship between transit time and stool consistency such that the longer the stool is retained in the colon, the more water is absorbed and the harder it becomes (right panel).

Figure 2

Representative data illustrating gastrointestinal motor and sensory function after ingestion of a 500kcal chocolate mousse. Gastric emptying and oro-caecal transit (left panel) with gastric (red) and caecal (green) regions of interest. There is initial filling of the proximal stomach by the semi-solid meal. Gastric emptying progresses at a steady rate and is accompanied by a steady decrease in fullness and satiety (right panel). After 45 minutes there more than 50% of the meal is still in the stomach but contrast is clearly visible in the caecum.

Figure 3

Combined PET/CT data (left panel) reconstructed to generate an image of relative glucose metabolism. Ingestion of dark chocolate with high cocoa content increases FDG uptake in the visual cortex, with increased activity also in areas of the somatosensory cortex (possibly involved in gustation), motor and pre-frontal cortices (both $p < 0.001$). Averaged PET-emission data with detailed results (right panel), highlight differences in brain activation after ingestion of dark and white chocolate.

Figure 4

The Bristol stool score is a validated method to assess stool consistency. We propose this version to assess the effects of chocolate on bowel function.

Supplementary Table:

Nutritional Composition: Dark vs White Chocolate Mousse

	kcal	Carbohydrate In g	% kcal	Fat In g	% kcal	Protein In g	% kcal	Fiber In g	Cocoa In g
Chocolate Mousse (dark)									
125g Egg Yolk	280	0.25	0.05%	39.38	17.86%	20.63	4.17%	0	
40g Egg White	18	0.12	0.02%	0.04	0.02%	4.2	0.85%	0	
50g Sugar	200	50	10.11%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	
200g Couverture (72% Cacao)	1028	63.6	12.85%	77.4	35.10%	17.6	3.56%	0	144.00
150g Full Cream	502.5	4.65	0.94%	52.2	23.67%	3	0.61%	0	
Total	2028.5	118.62	23.98%	169.02	76.66%	45.43	9.18%	0	141.00
Pro Portion @ 8 Portionen (140g)	507.125	29.655	23.98%	42.255	76.66%	11.3575	9.18%	0	17.63
Chocolate Mousse (white)									
125g Egg Yolk	280	0.25	0.05%	39.38	17.10%	20.63	3.99%	0	
40g Egg White	18	0.12	0.02%	0.04	0.02%	4.2	0.81%	0	
50g Sugar	200	50	9.68%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	
200g Couverture white (0% cocoa)	1118	113.8	22.02%	67.8	29.44%	12.4	2.40%	0	54.8 (cocoa butter)
150g Full Cream	502.5	4.65	0.90%	52.2	22.67%	3	0.58%	0	
Total	2118.5	168.82	32.67%	159.42	69.23%	40.23	7.79%	0	0
Pro Portion @ 8 Portionen (140g)	529.625	42.205	32.67%	39.855	69.23%	10.0575	7.79%	0	0
Difference pro portion vs dark chocolate	22.50	12.55	8.70%	-2.40	-7.43%	-1.30	-1.40%	0	-17.63





